United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/688,198	10/17/2003	Gerardo Zapata	ABGENIX.057A	6664	
20995 75	590 04/24/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			BRISTOL, L'	BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			1643	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/688,198	ZAPATA, GERARDO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lynn Bristol	1643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
oce the attached detailed office action for a list	or the defining dopies not recent	, cu			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/688,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-25, drawn to methods of producing antibody fragments from antibody- expressing cells under culture conditions comprising activation of antibody-cleaving enzymes, classified in class 435, subclass 70.1.
 - II. Claims 26-29, drawn to antibody fragments produced by methods of culturing antibody- expressing cells comprising activating antibodycleaving enzymes under the culture conditions, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1
- 2. The inventions are distinct and separate for the following reasons:

 Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made.

 The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, antibody fragments can be prepared from enzyme-digests (e.g., papain or pepsin) of isolated antibodies and the fragments purified by affinity or size exclusion chromatography in addition to another and materially different process. As for using the process to produce another and materially different product, one of skill in the art could readily expect that other proteins (e.g., native or recombinant) could be processed by endogenous enzymes, for example, amyloid precursor protein processing by alpha- and beta-secretase (Beck et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,198 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

(Neuroscience 95:243-254 (2000)). The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. PATENT shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus Inventions of Groups I and II are separate and distinct in.

- 3. Searching the inventions would also impose a serious search burden. The searches of the methods and the antibodies are not co-extensive and the methods and the antibodies have a separate status in the art as by their different classifications.
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. If Group I is elected, then species (endogenous antibody-cleaving enzyme) below must be elected as applicable. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A) serine protease

Specie B) cysteine protease

Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

Specie C) aspartyl protease

The species for enzymes A-C have different functions vis-à-vis their substrate recognition, chemical and structural properties, tissue distribution, etc. One of ordinary skill in the art could readily distinguish any of these species based on these differences by consulting a general textbook of biochemistry or the Human Protein Reference Database (hprd.org) describing the properties for these enzymes.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic as to species A-C.

6. If Group I is elected, then species (antibody-producing cell line) below must be elected as applicable. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie AA) Chinese hamster ovary cells,

Specie BB) HeLa cells

Specie CC) baby hamster kidney cells,

Specie DD) monkey kidney cells

Specie EE) human hepatocellular carcinoma cells

Species AA-EE are distinct and separate cell lines, each of which are derived from different animal and tissue/organ sources, have different morphologies, growth properties, propagation requirements, DNA profiles, etc. One of ordinary skill in the art readily distinguish any of these species based on these differences by consulting the

ATCC website. See attached copies of ATCC information sheets for each of the cell lines.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic as to species AA-EE.

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynn Bristol whose telephone number is 571-272-6883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:00, Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,198 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAB

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED